shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

#### David P. Boergers,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-8158 Filed 3-27-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

## Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. GT98-30-000]

# Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation; Notice of Refund Report

March 24, 1998.

Take notice that on March 20, 1998, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco) filed a report reflecting the flow through of a portion of a refund received from Texas Gas Transmission Corporation (Texas Gas).

On February 26, 1998, in accordance with Section 4 of its Rate Schedule FT–NT, Transco states that it refunded to its FT–NT customers \$19,466.83 resulting from a portion of a Texas Gas Refund for the period December 1, 1996 through October 31, 1997. The refund was issued as a result of the termination of Texas Gas' Transportation Cost Adjustment (TCA), as approved in the Stipulation and Agreement filed in Docket No. RP94–423 by the Letter Order issued by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission on February 20, 1996.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed on or before March 31, 1998. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

#### David P. Boergers,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-8159 Filed 3-27-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. SA98-61-000]

#### Louis Welner and Bruce F. Welner; Notice of Petition for Adjustment

March 24, 1998.

Take notice that on March 10, 1998. Bruce F. Welner on behalf of himself and his father Louis Welner filed a petition for adjustment under section 502(c) of the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978 (NGPA),1 requesting to be relieved of their obligation to make Kansas ad valorem tax refunds to Northern Natural Gas Company, with respect to their working interest in wells operated in Clark County, Kansas otherwise required by the Commission's September 10, 1997 order in Docket Nos. RP97-369-000, GP97-3-000, GP97-4-000, and GP97-5-000.2 The petition is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The Commission's September 10 order on remand from the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals <sup>3</sup> directed first sellers under the NGPA to make Kansas ad valorem tax refunds, with interest, for the period from 1983 to 1988.

Bruce F. Welner seeks relief for his father regarding his interest in the Bouziden well based on the following grounds:

- 1. Lewis Welner currently lives in a nursing home in Florida and is suffering from Alzheimer's disease.
- 2. Lewis Welner has been on Medicaid for the last year because his assets are depleted.

Bruce F. Welner seeks relief for himself regarding his interest in the McMinimy and Bouziden wells based on the following:

- 1. In May of 1988 Bruce F. Welner and his wife filed for personal bankruptcy. The two wells were used as collateral to secure a loan.
- 2. As a result of the bankruptcy a bank became owner of Bruce Welner's interest in the two wells.
- 3. The remaining unsecured oil and gas assets were sold at auction, along with Bruce Welner's personal assets. The proceeds were distributed to unsecured creditors.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said

petition should on or before 15 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in according with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214, 385.211, 385.1105, and 385.1106). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

#### David P. Boergers,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–8165 Filed 3–27–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER98-2226-000, et al.]

## PP&L, Inc., et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

March 23, 1998.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

#### 1. PP&L, Inc.

[Docket No. ER98-2226-000]

Take notice that on March 18, 1998, PP&L, Inc. (formerly known as Pennsylvania Power & Light Company) (PP&L), filed a Service Agreement dated March 6, 1998, with Virginia Electric and Power Company (VEPC), under PP&L's FERC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 5. The Service Agreement adds VEPC as an eligible customer under the Tariff.

PP&L requests an effective date of March 18, 1998, for the Service Agreement.

PP&L states that copies of this filing have been supplied to VEPC and to the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission.

Comment date: April 7, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

### 2. PP&L, Inc.

[Docket No. ER98-2227-000]

Take notice that on March 18, 1998, PP&L, Inc. (formerly known as Pennsylvania Power & Light Company) (PP&L), filed a Service Agreement dated

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 3142(c) (1982).

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  See 80 FERC  $\P$  61,264 (1997); order denying reh'g issued January 28, 1998, 82 FERC  $\P$  61,058 (1998).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Public Service Company of Colorado v. FERC, 91 F.3d 1478 (D.C. 1996), cert. denied, Nos. 96–954 and 96–1230 (65 U.S.L.W. 3751 and 3754, May 12, 1997) (Public Service).